

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/753,413	BRADSHAW, DAVID BENEDICT
	Examiner Gregory M. Desire	Art Unit 2624

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Gregory M. Desire.

(3) _____

(2) James R Banowsky.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

claims 13 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 13 and 22 cite a computer readable medium. The specification page 42 lines 3-6 and 16-19 describes a computer readable medium to comprise of communication media and storage media, wherein communication media includes carrier waves. Thus, claims 13 and 22 are described as signal claims. The examiner suggested amending claims 13 and 22 to exclude communication media and be limited to the storage media. Thus, claiming away from a signal claim. Claims 13 and 22 were agreed to be amended to "computer- readable storage medium."